U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE
SPECIES ASSESSMENT AND LISTING PRIORITY ASSIGNMENT FORM

SCIENTIFIC NAME: *Hedyotis fluviatilis*

COMMON NAME: Kamapua`a

LEAD REGION: Region 1

INFORMATION CURRENT AS OF: August 2005

STATUS/ACTION:

___ Species assessment - determined species did not meet the definition of endangered or threatened under the Act and, therefore, was not elevated to Candidate status

___ New candidate

_X__ Continuing candidate

___ Non-petitioned

_X__ Petitioned - Date petition received: May 11, 2004

__ 90-day positive - FR date:

_X_ 12-month warranted but precluded - FR date: May 11, 2005

_N_ Did the petition request a reclassification of a listed species?

FOR PETITIONED CANDIDATE SPECIES:

a. Is listing warranted (if yes, see summary of threats below)? yes

b. To date, has publication of a proposal to list been precluded by other higher priority listing actions? yes

c. If the answer to a. and b. is "yes", provide an explanation of why the action is precluded. We find that the immediate issuance of a proposed rule and timely promulgation of a final rule for this species has been, for the preceding 12 months, and continues to be, precluded by higher priority listing actions. During the past 12 months, most of our national listing budget has been consumed by work on various listing actions to comply with court orders and court-approved settlement agreements, meeting statutory deadlines for petition findings or listing determinations, emergency listing evaluations and determinations and essential litigation-related, administrative, and program management tasks. We will continue to monitor the status of this species as new information becomes available. This review will determine if a change in status is warranted, including the need to make prompt use of emergency listing procedures. For information on listing actions taken over the past 12 months, see the discussion of "Progress on Revising the Lists," in the current CNOR which can be viewed on our Internet website (http://endangered.fws.gov).

___ Listing priority change

Former LP: ___

New LP: ___

Date when the species first became a Candidate (as currently defined): 1999

___ Candidate removal: Former LP: __

___ A – Taxon is more abundant or widespread than previously believed or not subject to

the degree of threats sufficient to warrant issuance of a proposed listing or continuance of candidate status.

___U – Taxon not subject to the degree of threats sufficient to warrant issuance of a proposed listing or continuance of candidate status due, in part or totally, to conservation efforts that remove or reduce the threats to the species.

___ F – Range is no longer a U.S. territory.

___ I – Insufficient information exists on biological vulnerability and threats to support listing.

___ M – Taxon mistakenly included in past notice of review.

___ N – Taxon does not meet the Act's definition of "species."

___ X – Taxon believed to be extinct.

ANIMAL/PLANT GROUP AND FAMILY: Flowering plants, Rubiaceae (Coffee family)

HISTORICAL STATES/TERRITORIES/COUNTRIES OF OCCURRENCE: Hawaii, islands of Oahu and Kauai

CURRENT STATES/ COUNTIES/TERRITORIES/COUNTRIES OF OCCURRENCE: Hawaii, islands of Oahu and Kauai

LAND OWNERSHIP: Most of the populations occur on State land, with one population located on Federal land and one located on private land.

LEAD REGION CONTACT: Paul Phifer, 503-872-2823, paul_phifer@fws.gov

LEAD FIELD OFFICE CONTACT: Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office, Christa Russell, 808-792-9400, christa_russel@fws.gov

BIOLOGICAL INFORMATION:

Species Description *Hedyotis fluviatilis* is a scandent shrub, foetid when bruised; with cylindrical, but slightly flattened, stems, 3 to 25 decimeters (1 to 8 feet (ft)) long, glabrous, and with short lateral branches. Leaves are widely spaced, papery, elliptic-oblanceolate to elliptic-lanceolate, 8 to 17 centimeters (cm) (3.2 to 6.8 inches (in)) long, and 3 to 5 cm (1.2 to 2 in) wide. Flowers are perfect and pistillate, borne in reduced axillary, cymose inflorescences. Calyx lobes are deltate to narrowly ovate, 12 to 18 millimeters (mm) (0.5 to 0.7 in) long, 3 to 6 mm (0.1 to 0.2 in) wide, and with several small sac-like glands between corolla lobe sinuses. The corolla is white, fleshy and waxy, with a tube 22 to 30 mm (0.9 to 1.2 in) long. Capsules are woody, strongly quadrangular or winged, 8 to 13 mm (0.3 to 0.5 in) long, and 9 to 13 mm (0.4 to 0.5 in) in diameter. Seeds are translucent reddish brown, wedge-shaped, are minutely reticulate (Wagner *et al*. 1999a).

Taxonomy *Hedyotis fluviatilis* was described by F.R. Fosberg. This species is recognized as a distinct taxon in Wagner *et al*. (1999a) and Wagner and Herbst (2003), the most recently accepted Hawaiian plant taxonomy.

Habitat *Hedyotis fluviatilis* is found in mesic to wet forest at elevations between 60 and 1,220 meters (197 and 4,003 ft) (Wagner *et al*. 1999a).

Historical and Current Range/Current Status This species is known from six populations totaling 500 to 1,000 individuals on the islands of Oahu and Kauai (Joel Lau, Hawaii Natural Heritage Program; Steve Perlman and Dave Lorence, National Tropical Botanical Garden, pers. comms. 1996). While we do not know of any surveys since this information was provided and trend data is not available, it is reasonable to assume the populations have continued to decline, since the threats are not being managed throughout its range.

THREATS:

A. The present or threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of its habitat or range.
This species is threatened by feral pigs (*Sus scrofa*) that degrade and destroy habitat (J. Lau, S. Perlman, and D. Lorence, pers. comms. 1996). As early as 1778, European explorers introduced livestock, which became feral, increased in number and range, and caused significant changes to the natural environment of Hawaii. Past and present activities of introduced alien mammals are the primary factor altering and degrading vegetation and habitat on Kauai and Oahu. Pigs are currently present on Kauai and Oahu, and inhabit rain forests and grasslands. While rooting in the ground in search of the invertebrates and plant material they eat, feral pigs disturb and destroy vegetative cover, trample plants and seedlings, and threaten forest regeneration by damaging seeds and seedlings. They disturb soil and cause erosion, especially on slopes. Alien plant seeds are dispersed on their hooves and coats as well as through their digestive tracts, and the disturbed soil is fertilized by their feces, helping these plants to establish. Pigs are a major vector in the spread of many introduced plant species (Cuddihy and Stone 1990; Wagner *et al*. 1999a). No known conservation measures have been implemented to date to address this threat.

B. Overutilization for commercial, recreational, scientific, or educational purposes.
None known.

C. Disease or predation.
Because Hawaii's native plants evolved without any browsing or grazing mammals present, many lost natural defenses to such impacts (Carlquist 1980, Lamoureux 1994). Browsing by ungulates has been observed on many other native species, including common and rare or endangered species (Cuddihy and Stone 1990; Loope *et al*. 1991). Therefore, even though there are no observations of browsing for this species, it is likely that pigs impact this species directly as well as their indirect impacts to the surrounding habitat. No known conservation measures have been implemented to date to address this threat.

D. The inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms.
Pigs are managed as a game animal in Hawaii. Pig hunting is allowed on all islands either year-round or during certain months, depending on the area (Hawaii Department of Lands and Natural Resources n.d.-a, n.d. b, n.d.-c, n.d.-d). However, public hunting does not adequately control the number of ungulates to eliminate this threat to native plant species. No other known conservation measures have been implemented to date to address this threat.

E. Other natural or manmade factors affecting its continued existence.
Alien plant species threaten this species (J. Lau, S. Perlman, and D. Lorence, pers. comms. 1996). Although the exact pest species that threaten this plant have not been identified, alien pest plants are found throughout the areas where this species occurs. The original native flora of Hawaii consisted of about 1,400 species, nearly 90 percent of which were endemic. Of the total native and naturalized Hawaiian flora of 1,817 taxa, 47 percent were introduced from other parts of the world, and nearly 100 species have become pests (Smith 1985; Wagner *et al*. 1999a). Confirmed personal observations (J. Lau, S. Perlman, and D. Lorence, pers. comms. 1996) and several studies (Cuddihy and Stone 1990; Wood and Perlman 1997; Robichaux *et al*. 1998) indicate nonnative plant species may outcompete native plants similar to *Hedyotis fluviatilis*. Competition may be for space, light, water, or nutrients, or there may be a chemical inhibition of other plants (Smith 1985; Cuddihy and Stone 1990). In addition, nonnative pest plants found in habitat similar to that of this species have been shown to make the habitat less suitable for native species (Smathers and Gardner 1978; Smith 1985; Loope and Medeiros 1992; Medeiros *et al.* 1992; Ellshoff *et al.* 1995; Meyer and Florence 1996; Medeiros *et al.* 1997; Loope *et al*. 2004). In particular, alien pest plant species modify habitat by modifying availability of light, altering soil-water regimes, modifying nutrient cycling, or altering fire characteristics of native plant communities (Smith 1985; Cuddihy and Stone 1990; Vitousek *et al*. 1987). Because of demonstrated habitat modification and resource competition by nonnative plant species in habitat similar to the mesic to wet forest habitat of *H. fluviatilis*, the Service believes nonnative plant species are a threat to *H. fluviatilis*. Currently, many widespread alien plant taxa cannot be completely eradicated from Oahu and Kauai, and therefore are expected to continue dispersing into previously managed areas (Loope 1998, Smith 1985). No known conservation measures have been implemented to date to address this threat.

SUMMARY OF THREATS:
The major threats to this species include pigs and nonnative plant species. No conservation efforts have been initiated to date.

CONSERVATION MEASURES PLANNED OR IMPLEMENTED
None known.

LISTING PRIORITY:

THREAT			
Magnitude	Immediacy	Taxonomy	Priority
High	**Imminent**	Monotypic genus	1
		Species	**2***
		Subspecies/population	3
	Non-imminent	Monotypic genus	4
		Species	5
		Subspecies/population	6

Moderate to Low	Imminent	Monotypic genus	7
		Species	8
		Subspecies/population	9
	Non-imminent	Monotypic genus	10
		Species	11
		Subspecies/population	12

Rationale for listing priority number:
Magnitude:
This species is highly threatened by pigs that degrade and destroy habitat, and by nonnative plants that outcompete and displace it. Threats to wet forest habitat of *Hedyotis fluviatilis* and to individuals of this species occur throughout its range, and are expected to continue or increase without control or eradication. No conservation efforts have been initiated to date.

Imminence:
Threats to *Hedyotis fluviatilis* from pigs and nonnative plants are imminent because they are ongoing.

Yes ___ Have you promptly reviewed all of the information received regarding the species for the purpose of determining whether emergency listing is needed?

Is Emergency Listing Warranted? No. The species does not appear to be appropriate for emergency listing at this time because the immediacy of the threats is not so great as to imperil a significant proportion of the taxon within the time frame of the routine listing process. If it becomes apparent that the routine listing process is not sufficient to prevent large losses that may result in this species' extinction, then the emergency rule process for this species will be initiated. We will continue to monitor the status of *Hedyotis fluviatilis* as new information becomes available. This review will determine if a change in status is warranted, including the need to make prompt use of emergency listing procedures.

DESCRIPTION OF MONITORING:
Much of the information in this form is based on the results of a meeting of 20 botanical experts held by the Center for Plant Conservation in December of 1995, and was updated by personal communication with Steve Perlman and Dave Lorence of National Tropical Botanical Garden in 1996 and Joel Lau of the Hawaii Natural Heritage Program in 1996. We have incorporated additional information on this species from our files and the most recent supplement to the *Manual of the Flowering Plants of Hawaii* (Wagner and Herbst 2003). In 2004, the Pacific Islands office contacted the following species experts: Bob Hobdy, retired from Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife; Joel Lau, Hawaii Natural Heritage Program; Art Medeiros, U.S.G.S. Biological Resources Discipline; Hank Oppenheimer, resource manager for Maui Land and Pineapple Company; and Steve Perlman and Ken Wood, National Tropical Botanical Garden. No new status or range information was provided in 2004. In 2005 we contacted the species experts listed below, but received no new information on this taxon.

The Hawaii Natural Heritage Program identified this species as critically imperiled (Hawaii Natural Heritage Program Database 2004). Based on the International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources Red Plant Data Book rarity categories, this species is recognized as Rare (could be considered at risk) by Wagner *et al*. (1999b).

Species experts were contacted but did not provide new information this year, no new literature was found, and no known entities are studying this species. However, it is highly likely that the previously reported threats continue to impact the species at the same or an increased level.

COORDINATION WITH STATES:
In October 2004 we provided the Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife with copies of our most recent candidate assessments for their review and comment. Vickie Caraway, the State botanist, reviewed the information for *Hedyotis fluviatilis* and suggested that this species may meet the interim recovery objectives for Hawaiian plants, and therefore may not warrant listing. The interim recovery objectives for a short-lived species such as this taxon are aimed at stabilizing the species and preventing extinction in the near future, and include 1) the existence of 3 populations of 50 reproducing individuals each, 2) all threats managed and, 3) the species in genetic storage. While the population numbers may meet interim recovery objectives, no threats are being controlled, and *H. fluviatilis* is not in genetic storage. Therefore, we believe listing is warranted for *H. fluviatilis.*

LITERATURE CITED:
List all experts contacted:

Name	Date	Place of Employment
1. Joel Lau	June 28, 2005	Hawaii Natural Heritage Program
2. Art Medeiros	June 28, 2005	U.S.G.S. Biological Resources Discipline
3. Jim Jacobi	June 28, 2005	U.S.G.S. Biological Resources Discipline
4. Rick Warshauer	June 28, 2005	U.S.G.S. Biological Resources Discipline
5. Hank Oppenheimer	June 28, 2005	Maui Land and Pineapple Company
6. Kapua Kawelo	June 28, 2005	U.S. Army
7. Dave Lorence	June 28, 2005	National Tropical Botanical Garden
8. Steve Perlman	March 29, 2005	National Tropical Botanical Garden
9. Ken Wood	August 2, 2005	National Tropical Botanical Garden
10. Marie Bruegmann	July 13, 2005	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
11. Vickie Caraway	June 14, 2005	Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife

List all databases searched:

Name	Date
1. Hawaii Natural Heritage Program	2004

Other resources utilized:

Carlquist, S. 1980. Hawaii: A natural history, 2nd edition. Pacific Tropical Botanical Garden, Honolulu. 468 pp.

Center for Biological Diversity, Dr. Jane Goodall, Dr. E.O. Wilson, Dr. Paul Ehrlich, Dr. John Terborgh, Dr. Niles Eldridge, Dr. Thomas Eisner, Dr. Robert Hass, Barbara Kingsolver,

Charles Bowden, Martin Sheen, the Xerces Society, and the Biodiversity Conservation Alliance. 2004. Hawaiian Plants: petitions to list as federally endangered species. May 4, 2004.

Cuddihy, L.W., and C.P. Stone. 1990. Alteration of native Hawaiian vegetation; effects of humans, their activities and introductions. Coop. Natl. Park Resources Stud. Unit, Hawaii. 138 pp.

Ellshoff, Z.E., D.E. Gardner, C. Wikler, and C.W. Smith. 1995. Annotated bibliography of the genus *Psidium*, with emphasis on *P. cattleianum* (strawberry guava) and *P. guajava* (common guava), forest weeds in Hawai`i. Cooperative National Park Resources Studies Unit, University of Hawaii. Technical Report 95.

Hawaii, Department of Land and Natural Resources. N.d.-a. Summary of Title 13, Chapter 123, Game mammal hunting rules, island of Oahu. Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Honolulu. 2 pp.

Hawaii, Department of Land and Natural Resources. N.d.-b. Summary of Title 13, Chapter 123, Game mammal hunting rules, island of Molokai. Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Honolulu. 2 pp.

Hawaii, Department of Land and Natural Resources. N.d.-c. Summary of Title 13, Chapter 123, Game mammal hunting rules, island of Maui. Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Honolulu. 2 pp.

Hawaii, Department of Land and Natural Resources. N.d.-d. Summary of Title 13, Chapter 123, Game mammal hunting rules, island of Kauai. Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Honolulu

Lamoureux, C.H. 1994. Conserving Hawaiian biodiversity – the role of Hawaiian botanical gardens. Pp. 55-57. In: C.-I Peng and C.H. Chou (eds.). Biodiversity and Terrestrial Ecosystems. Institute of Botany, Academia Sinica Monograph Series No. 14.

Loope, L.L., A.C. Medeiros, and B.H. Gagné. 1991. Recovery of Vegetation of a montane bog following protection from feral pig rooting. Coop. Natl. Park Resources Studies Unit, Univ. Hawaii/Manoa, Dept. Of Botany, Tech. Rept. 77.

Loope, L.L. and A.C. Medeiros. 1992. A new and invasive grass on Maui. Newsletter of the Hawaiian Botanical Society 31: 7-8.

Loope, L., F. Starr and K. Starr. 2004. Management and research for protecting endangered Hawaiian plant species from displacement by invasive plants on Maui, Hawaii. Weed Technology 18: 1472-1474.

Medeiros, A.C., L.L. Loope, P. Conant and S. McElvaney. 1997. Status, ecology, and management of the invasive plant, *Miconia calvescens* DC (Melastomataceae) in the Hawaiian Islands. Bishop Mus. Occas. Pap. 48: 23-36.

Medeiros, A.C., L.L. Loope, T. Flynn, S.J. Anderson, L.W. Cuddihy, and K.A. Wilson. 1992. Notes on the status of an invasive Australian tree fern (*Cyathea cooperi*) in Hawaiian rain forests. American Fern Journal 82: 27-33.

Medeiros, A.C., Jr., L.L. Loope, and R.A. Holt. 1986. Status of native flowering plant species on the south slope of Haleakala, East Maui, Hawaii. Coop. Natl. Park Resources Stud. Unit, Hawaii, Techn. Rept. 59:1-230.

Robichaux, R., J. Canfield, F. R. Warshauer, L. Perry, M. Bruegmann, and G. Carr. 1998. Adaptive Radiation. Endangered Species Bulletin. November/December.

Scott, J.M., S. Mountainspring, F.L. Ramsey, and C.B. Kepler. 1986. Forest bird communities

of the Hawaiian Islands: Their dynamics, ecology, and conservation. Studies in Avian Biology 9: 1-429. Cooper Ornithological Society, Los Angeles.

Smather, G.A. and D.E. Gardner. 1978. Stand analysis of an invading firetree (*Myrica faya* Aiton) population, Hawai`i. Proceeding of the Second Conference on Natural Science, Hawaii Volcanoes National Park, pp. 274-288.

Smith, C.W. 1985. Impact of alien plants on Hawai`i's native biota: *in* Stone, C.P., and J.M. Scott (eds.), Hawai`i's Terrestrial Ecosystems: Preservation and Management. Coop. Natl. Park Resources Stud. Unit, Univ. Hawaii, Honolulu, pp. 180-250.

Stone, C.P. 1985. Alien animals in Hawai`i's native ecosystems: toward controlling the adverse effects of introduced vertebrates: *in* Stone, C.P., and J.M. Scott (eds.), Hawai'i's Terrestrial Ecosystems: Preservation and Management. Coop. Natl. Park Resources Stud. Unit, Univ. Hawaii, Honolulu, pp. 251-297.

Tomich, P.Q. 1986. Mammals in Hawai`i: A synopsis and notational bibliography. Bishop Museum Press, Honolulu. 375 pp.

Vitousek, P.M., C.M. D'Antonio, L.L. Loope, M. Rejnanek, and R. Westerbrooks. 1997. Introduced species: a significant component of human-caused global change. New Zealand Journal of Ecology 21(1): 1-16.

Wagner, W.L., D.R. Herbst, and S.H. Sohmer. 1999a. Manual of the Flowering Plants of Hawai`i, Bishop Mus. Spec. Publ. 97: 1-1918. University of Hawaii Press and Bishop Museum Press, Honolulu.

Wagner, W.L., M.M. Bruegmann, and J.Q.C. Lau. 1999b. Hawaiian vascular plants at risk: 1999. Bishop Mus. Occas. Pap. 60: 1-58.

Wagner, W.L. and D.R. Herbst. 2003. Electronic supplement to the manual of flowering plants of Hawai‘i, version 3.1. December 12, 2003. Available from the Internet. URL: http://rathbun.si.edu/botany/pacificislandbiodiversity/hawaiianflora/supplement.htm.

Wood, K.R. and S. Perlman. 1997. Maui 14 plant survey final report. Submitted by National Tropical Botanical Garden, October, 1997.

APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE: Lead Regions must obtain written concurrence from all other Regions within the range of the species before recommending changes to the candidate list, including listing priority changes; the Regional Director must approve all such recommendations. The Director must concur on all 12-month petition findings, additions of species to the candidate list, removal of candidate species, and listing priority changes.

Approve: Acting _____ 11/16/05
Regional Director, Fish and Wildlife Service Date

Concur: _____ August 23, 2006
Director, Fish and Wildlife Service Date

Do not concur: _____ _____
Director, Fish and Wildlife Service Date

Date of annual review: September 16, 2005
Conducted by: Marie M. Bruegmann, Pacific Islands FWO
Plant Recovery Coordinator

Comments:
PIFWO Review

Reviewed by: Christa Russell Date: September 23, 2005
Plant Conservation Program Leader

Gina Shultz Date: October 14, 2005
Assistant Field Supervisor,
Endangered Species

Patrick Leonard Date: October 14, 2005
Field Supervisor